



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_34116
Title of the Manuscript:	NOVEL LINEARIZED KINETIC MODELING OF STARCH HYDROLYSIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is within the scope of the journal. The work is relevant, original and will contribute to the scientific community. The writing is good, the methods very well detailed, few errors and showing care in the preparation. However, few corrections should be conducted to further improve the quality of the manuscript presented. The considerations are described below.	You are eagle eyed and indeed showed your editing prowess. The considerations have been noted and effected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The considerations are: - Abstract: Insert end point:... 80 °C - Line 15: Put the scientific name:... Cassava - Line 19: Correct the word:... fibres - Line 61: Correct the parentheses:... Acid) method)... - Table 1 and 2:... Put °C in uppercase.	Effected Effected Effected Effected Effected All recommended minor revisions have been corrected and changes applied.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Few corrections should be conducted.	All authors agree to the corrections above.